



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/774,620

02/01/2001

Tomoshi Hirayama

202491US6

3053

22850

7590

03/03/2008

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

1940 DUKE STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

BLAIR, DOUGLAS B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2142

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/03/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com

oblonpat@oblon.com

jgardner@oblon.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/774,620	Applicant(s) HIRAYAMA, TOMOSHI	
	Examiner DOUGLAS B. BLAIR	Art Unit 2142	

All Participants:

(1) DOUGLAS B. BLAIR.

(2) Scott McKeown.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 February 2008

Time: 11 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101 and 103 rejections

Claims discussed:

195

Prior art documents discussed:

Rangan and Marsh

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Douglas Blair

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner asked Mr. McKeown for clarification of the remarks submitted on 1/25/2008. Mr. McKeown explained how the claimed conflict attribute relates to combining auxiliary data items and program data whereas the claimed validity term and conditions relative to the assignment of rights relate to the combination of auxiliary data times with other auxiliary data items. Based on Mr. McKeown's clarification of the claim terms, the Examiner agreed with the arguments provided on 1/25/2008. The current rejection is withdrawn and either a notice of allowance or supplemental office action will be issued by the Examiner based upon an updated search. Also the Examiner clarified the office's position on statutory subject matter with respect to claim 195. No further action is required by the applicant at this time